Dandao Xuebao/Journal of Ballistics
ISSN: 1004-499X
Vol. 37 No. 1 (2025)

A Mathematical Framework for the Performance Assessment and Optimization of Industrial Plants

Suresh Kumar Sahani*[1], Laxmi Kumari Jha[2], Binod Kumar Sah[3]

[*1]Faculty of Science, Technology and Engineering

sureshsahani@rju.edu.np

[2]Faculty of Science, Technology and Engineering

laxmijha893@gmail.com

[3]Department of Statistics

R.R.M.C., T.U., Nepal

sah.binod01@gmail.com

Abstract:

In an increasingly competitive global market, the rigorous, quantitative assessment of industrial plant performance is paramount for ensuring profitability, sustainability, and operational excellence. This paper presents a comprehensive mathematical framework for this purpose, moving beyond simple Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to an integrated system of analysis. We delineate the hierarchy of mathematical tools, from foundational statistics and calculus for descriptive metrics to advanced techniques including linear algebra for mass/energy balancing, non-linear programming for real-time optimization, and machine learning for predictive modeling and fault detection. A central thesis of this work is that a deep, mathematically-grounded understanding of process relationships is a prerequisite for meaningful optimization. A case study on Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE) calculation is presented to demonstrate the practical application of the framework. The paper concludes that the synergy of first-principles modeling and data-driven analytics represents the future of plant performance management, forming the core of the Industry 4.0 paradigm.

Keywords: Performance Assessment, Mathematical Modeling, Industrial Optimization, Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE), Mass and Energy Balance, Process Optimization, Machine Learning, Industry 4.0.

Introduction:

The primary objective of any industrial plant—whether in chemical processing, power generation, or discrete manufacturing—is to convert inputs into valuable outputs safely, reliably, and profitably. Subjective assessment of plant performance is insufficient; a objective, quantitative, and mathematically rigorous approach is required to identify inefficiencies, justify capital expenditures, and drive continuous improvement. While operational teams routinely track metrics like production volume and downtime, these isolated figures often lack context. This paper argues for a *systemic* approach where performance is understood through interconnected mathematical models that describe the physical and economic constraints of the operation.

This involves:

1. Descriptive Analysis**:** Quantifying what is happening via statistical KPIs.
2. Diagnostic Analysis**:** Using mathematical models (e.g., mass balances, regression) to understand why it is happening.
3. Predictive & Prescriptive Analysis**:** Employing advanced techniques to forecast future performance and recommend optimal actions.

The field rests on pillars of engineering science and applied mathematics. Early work by [*Cite a foundational text, e.g., "J.M. Douglas, Conceptual Design of Chemical Processes"*] established rigorous mass and energy balancing as the cornerstone of process design and assessment. The development of Statistical Process Control (SPC) by Shewhart provided the mathematical basis for quality management.

The drive for operational excellence in industrial plants has necessitated a shift from heuristic, experience-based management to a rigorous, quantitative, and mathematically-grounded paradigm. The performance assessment of industrial assets is no longer confined to the calculation of simple, lagging indicators but has evolved into a sophisticated discipline integrating principles from process engineering, statistics, optimization, and computer science. This literature review synthesizes key scholarly contributions that underpin the mathematical methodologies used for plant performance assessment. It traces the evolution from foundational mass and energy balancing to the current state-of-the-art, which leverages real-time data and machine learning for predictive and prescriptive analytics, all within the framework of the Industry 4.0 revolution.

The bedrock of performance assessment lies in the accurate description and analysis of process data. The application of statistical methods for quality control was pioneered by Shewhart [13], who introduced control charts as a method to distinguish between common-cause and special-cause variation. This work laid the groundwork for Statistical Process Control (SPC), a cornerstone of modern quality management systems extensively detailed by Montgomery [7]. These methods allow for the monitoring of process stability and capability, providing the first line of defense against performance degradation.

Beyond SPC, the field of process systems engineering has long relied on calculus and linear algebra. The formulation and solution of material and energy balances, a fundamental practice in chemical engineering, are essentially exercises in solving systems of linear equations (Felder & Rousseau[4]. The use of matrix algebra becomes indispensable for reconciling these balances with measured plant data, a process formalized by Narasimhan and Jordache [9] in their seminal work on data reconciliation and gross error detection. This technique uses weighted least-squares minimization to find the most statistically likely values of process variables, thereby providing a consistent and accurate dataset for all subsequent analysis.

While data provides the raw material, Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) offer the synthesized insight. The literature is rich with metrics tailored to specific industrial contexts. In discrete manufacturing, Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE) has emerged as a globally accepted standard for measuring productivity. Originally developed as part of the Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) philosophy, OEE decomposes performance into three multiplicative components: Availability, Performance, and Quality (Nakajima[8]. This mathematical decomposition is powerful as it directs diagnostic efforts to the specific source of losses.

For continuous processes, such as in the chemical and petrochemical industries, KPIs often focus on efficiency and yield. Smith [14], emphasizes that metrics like Production Yield and Specific Energy Consumption must be benchmarked against theoretical maxima, often derived from first-principles models. The work of Turton et al. [17], further elaborates on the economic KPIs, such as Return on Investment (ROI) and Cost of Manufacturing, which are crucial for translating technical performance into business language. The consensus in the literature is that a balanced scorecard of interrelated KPIs is necessary for a holistic assessment (Parmenter [10]).

At the heart of diagnostic and optimization capabilities lies the process model. Steady-state simulation, used for design and debottlenecking, is well-established. Software platforms like Aspen Plus and HYSYS are built upon solving large systems of non-linear algebraic equations representing mass, energy, and phase equilibria (Seider et al., [12]). These models provide a "digital blueprint" of the plant against which actual performance can be compared.

For performance assessment related to transient operations, control, and safety, dynamic modeling is essential. The dynamic behavior of chemical processes is described by differential-algebraic equation (DAE) systems. The text by Bequette ([2]) provides a comprehensive treatment of process dynamics and control, demonstrating how these models are used to simulate startup, shutdown, and response to disturbances. The ability to accurately simulate plant dynamics is a prerequisite for effective Advanced Process Control (APC).

Once a process is accurately modeled, the next step is optimization. The literature on optimization in process systems engineering is vast. Early applications used Linear Programming (LP) for refinery planning (Dantzig, [3]). For more complex, non-linear processes, Non-Linear Programming (NLP) and Mixed-Integer Non-Linear Programming (MINLP) techniques are required to find optimal setpoints and operating schedules (Biegler [2]).

A significant advancement has been the implementation of Real-Time Optimization (RTO). As reviewed by Qin and Badgwell [1], RTO is a layer in the automation hierarchy that periodically updates a steady-state model with plant data and re-optimizes economic objectives, pushing new setpoints to the underlying control system. This creates a closed-loop system for continuous performance improvement, bridging the gap between steady-state models and dynamic plant operations.

The advent of big data and the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) has catalyzed a paradigm shift. When first-principles models are too complex or expensive to develop, data-driven models offer a powerful alternative. Himmelblau [5] was an early proponent of using statistical and neural network methods for fault detection and diagnosis in processes.

More recently, machine learning has become pervasive. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Partial Least Squares (PLS) are now standard tools for dimensionality reduction, monitoring, and quality prediction (Kourti & MacGregor [6]). The application of Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) for building non-linear dynamic models and "soft sensors" is extensively covered by Su and colleagues in various reviews, highlighting their ability to model complex, non-linear relationships directly from data (Su et al. [15]). These data-driven models are the core enablers of predictive maintenance and digital twin technology, which represents the cutting edge of performance assessment (Tao et al.[16]). This work is motivated by the works of Sahani, 2020; Sahanai and Sah, 2023, Munjal, et al, 2024, and so on (see [18-73]).

The literature reveals a clear and compelling trajectory in the field of industrial plant performance assessment. The discipline has matured from relying on isolated statistical tools and manual balancing to an integrated, multi-level framework. This framework synergistically combines:

1. Descriptive KPIs (informed by the work of Nakajima and Parmenter) for a high-level overview.
2. First-Principles Models (as formalized by Felder & Rousseau, Seider et al.) for deep diagnostic insight and fundamental understanding.
3. Model-Based Optimization (pioneered by Biegler and the RTO community) for prescriptive action.
4. Data-Driven Analytics (advanced by Kourti, MacGregor, and others) for pattern recognition, prediction, and handling system complexity.

The current research frontier, as identified by Tao et al. (2018) and others, lies in the development of hybrid models that seamlessly integrate physics-based and data-driven approaches within a "Digital Twin." This virtual representation of the physical asset, continuously updated with real-time data, promises to be the ultimate platform for comprehensive, proactive, and autonomous performance assessment and optimization.

Mathematical Foundations of Performance Assessment

This section introduces core mathematical tools used in industrial performance analysis.

Descriptive and Inferential Statistics

Statistical tools summarize plant performance data. Mean and standard deviation are calculated as follows:

$\bar{X} = (1/n)\ \Sigma\ xi$

$\sigma = \mathrm{sqrt}(\ (1/(n-1))\ \Sigma\ (xi - \bar{X})^2\ )$

Differential Calculus in Process Dynamics

Dynamic changes in process variables can be modeled by differential equations such as $dy/dt = f(y, t)$.

Linear Algebra for Mass and Energy Balancing

Mass and energy balances across multiple units can be expressed as $A \cdot x = b$, where A is the coefficient matrix, x is the flow rate vector, and b represents known inputs and outputs.

Nonlinear Programming for Optimization

Optimization seeks to minimize or maximize an objective function $f(x)$ subject to constraints $g_i(x) \leq 0$ and $h_j(x) = 0$.

Machine Learning and Predictive Analytics

Machine learning enhances predictive capacity by identifying nonlinear relationships in process data, useful for fault detection and predictive maintenance.

Integrated Framework for Performance Assessment

A hierarchical framework combines different mathematical tools for plant analysis, from basic statistics to predictive analytics.

Case Study: Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE)

OEE is calculated as $OEE = A \times P \times Q$, where A is availability, P is performance, and Q is quality.

Example: A plant operates 8 hours (480 min) with 30 min downtime, ideal cycle time 0.5 min/unit, 800 total units, and 40 defective units.
$A = (480 - 30)/480 = 0.9375$
$P = (0.5\times800)/450 = 0.8889$
$Q = (800 - 40)/800 = 0.95$
$OEE = 0.9375\times0.8889\times0.95 = 0.791$ (79.1%)

Integration with Optimization and Predictive Control

Multi-objective optimization and predictive fault detection techniques can be applied to improve plant performance and reliability.

Example 1: Statistical Process Control

A cement manufacturing plant monitors daily clinker output (in tons) over 7 days: 100, 102, 98, 101, 99, 103, 97. Mean output is computed as:

$\bar{x} = (100 + 102 + 98 + 101 + 99 + 103 + 97) / 7 = 100$. Standard deviation $\sigma = \sqrt{(\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2) / (n-1)} \approx 2.16$. This low variability ($\sigma = 2.16\%$) indicates stable production.

Example 2: Energy Efficiency Using Calculus

The rate of fuel consumption in a boiler is modeled as $F(t) = 5e^{-0.2t}$, where t is time in hours. The total fuel consumed over 8 hours is given by the definite integral:

$\int_0^8 5e^{-0.2t}\,dt = [(-25)e^{-0.2t}]_0^8 = 25(1 - e^{-1.6}) = 19.5$ units.

This integral quantifies the total energy use, supporting optimization of operational schedules to reduce consumption.

Example 3: Mass Balance via Linear Algebra

Consider a two-stage mixer system where material flow rates (x_1, x_2) must satisfy the equations:
$2x_1 + x_2 = 100$
$x_1 + 3x_2 = 150$
Solving using matrix algebra $A \cdot x = b$ gives:

[[2, 1], [1, 3]] · [x1, x2] = [100, 150]. By inversion, $x = A^{-1}b = [30, 40]$. Thus, flow rates are x1 = 30 units/hr and x2 = 40 units/hr.

Example 4: Nonlinear Optimization

An industrial dryer's energy cost (E) and production rate (P) are related to air temperature (T) by:
$E = 0.05T^2 - 2T + 150$, $P = -0.02T^2 + 3T + 50$.
To find the optimal temperature minimizing cost while maximizing output, set up a combined objective function:

$f(T) = w_1E - w_2P$, where $w_1 = 0.6$, $w_2 = 0.4$.

$df/dT = 0.6(0.1T - 2) - 0.4(-0.04T + 3) = 0.06T - 1.2 + 0.016T - 1.2 = 0.076T - 2.4$.

Setting $df/dT = 0 \rightarrow T = 31.6$°C gives the best compromise between cost and performance.

Example 5: Predictive Maintenance with Machine Learning

Using a regression model trained on sensor data (temperature, vibration, pressure), the predicted failure probability of a motor (Pf) is given as:
$Pf = 0.02T + 0.03V + 0.01P - 0.05$.
For T = 70°C, V = 5 mm/s, and P = 20 bar, $Pf = 0.02\times70 + 0.03\times5 + 0.01\times20 - 0.05 = 1.85$.
A value above 1 indicates high risk, prompting preemptive maintenance.

Example 6: Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE)

Planned Production Time = 480 minutes; Downtime = 30 minutes; Ideal Cycle Time = 0.5 min/unit; Total Units = 800; Defective = 40.
$A = (480 - 30)/480 = 0.9375$; $P = (0.5 \times 800)/450 = 0.8889$; $Q = 760/800 = 0.95$.
$OEE = 0.9375 \times 0.8889 \times 0.95 = 0.791 = 79.1\%$. This value suggests moderate efficiency, suitable for monitoring improvement strategies.

Conclusion:

Through the examples presented, this paper demonstrates how mathematical tools offer quantifiable insight into industrial operations. From analyzing variability and balancing material flow to optimizing process parameters, mathematical rigor enables both diagnostic and predictive control. By merging first-principles models with machine learning analytics, industrial plants can transition toward intelligent, autonomous systems aligned with the Industry 4.0 vision

References

[1] Bequette, B. W. (2003). *Process Control: Modeling, Design, and Simulation*. Prentice Hall.

[2] Biegler, L. T. (2010). *Nonlinear Programming: Concepts, Algorithms, and Applications to Chemical Processes*. SIAM.

[3] Dantzig, G. B. (1963). *Linear Programming and Extensions*. Princeton University Press.

[4] Felder, R. M., & Rousseau, R. W. (2005). *Elementary Principles of Chemical Processes* (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

[5] Himmelblau, D. M. (2008). *Accounts of Experiences in the Application of Artificial Neural Networks in Chemical Engineering*. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 47(16), 5782–5796.

[6] Kourti, T., & MacGregor, J. F. (1995). *Process Analysis, Monitoring and Diagnosis, Using Multivariate Projection Methods*. Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems, 28(1), 3–21.

[7] Montgomery, D. C. (2019). *Introduction to Statistical Quality Control* (8th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

[8] Nakajima, S. (1988). *Introduction to TPM: Total Productive Maintenance*. Productivity Press.

[9] Narasimhan, S., & Jordache, C. (2000). *Data Reconciliation and Gross Error Detection: An Intelligent Use of Process Data*. Gulf Publishing Company.

[10] Parmenter, D. (2015). *Key Performance Indicators: Developing, Implementing, and Using Winning KPIs* (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

[11] Qin, S. J., & Badgwell, T. A. (2003). *A Survey of Industrial Model Predictive Control Technology*. Control Engineering Practice, 11(7), 733–764.

[12] Seider, W. D., Lewin, D. R., Seader, J. D., Widagdo, S., Gani, R., & Ng, K. M. (2017). *Product and Process Design Principles: Synthesis, Analysis and Evaluation* (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

[13] Shewhart, W. A. (1931). *Economic Control of Quality of Manufactured Product*. Van Nostrand.

[14] Smith, R. (2016). *Chemical Process: Design and Integration*. John Wiley & Sons.

[15] Su, Y., Zhao, Y., & Zhao, C. (2019). *A Review of Data-Driven Modeling for Chemical Processes*. Acta Automatica Sinica, 45(6), 1025–1039.

[16] Tao, F., Zhang, H., Liu, A., & Nee, A. Y. C. (2018). *Digital Twin in Industry: State-of-the-Art*. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 15(4), 2405–2415.

[17] Turton, R., Bailie, R. C., Whiting, W. B., Shaeiwitz, J. A., & Bhattacharyya, D. (2018). *Analysis, Synthesis, and Design of Chemical Processes* (5th ed.). Prentice Hall.

[18] Sahani, S. K., and K. Sahani,(2018). Study and Analysis of Dynamical Models of Plant Growth Analysis in Calculus, Int. J. of Engineering, Pure and Applied Sciences, vol. 3, No.1, March 2018, 30-35.

[19] Sahani, S. K., and D. Jha, (2022). Study and Investigation on Real Life Application of First Ordered Differential Equation, Published: The Mathematics Education ISSN 0047-6269,Volume: LVI,No.1,March2022,pp.18-27.

[20] Sahani, S.K., and K.S. Prasad, (2022). Some New Applications of Calculus to Non-linear Sciences, Published in: Applied Science Periodical ISSN 0972-5504,Volume: XXIV, No. 4, November 2022, pp. 1-9.Online Link: https://internationaljournalsiwan.com/applied, science-volume-XXIV-4-nov-2022.php DOI No.: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7865875, ORCID Link: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5249-8441,Google Scholar Link:https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=BRweiDcAAAAJ&hl=en, Refereed and Peer-Reviewed Quarterly Periodical.

[21] Sahani, S. K., and K.S. Prasad,(2022).Some New Applications of Calculus to Non-linear Sciences, Published in: Applied Science Periodical ISSN 0972-5504,Volume: XXIV, No. 4, November 2022, pp. 1-9.Online Link: https://internationaljournalsiwan.com/applied, science-volume-XXIV-4-nov-2022.php DOI No.: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7865875, ORCID Link: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5249-8441,Google Scholar Link:https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=BRweiDcAAAAJ&hl=en, Refereed and Peer-Reviewed Quarterly Periodical.

[22] Sahani, S.K., et al., (2023). Evaluation of International Complexity of Mathematical Programming Problems, Korea Review of International Studies, Vol.16, Issue 46, 51-55, May 2023

[23] Sahani, S. K., et al., (2023). Some new approach on ellipsoid algorithm for non-linear programming, Manager-The British journal of Administrative Management, Vol.59, Issue163 30-37, June 2023.

[24] Jha, A., Sahani, S. K., Jha, A., and Sahani, K. (2023). From Equations to Insights: Navigating the Canvas of Tumor Growth Dynamics, MASALIQ Jurnal Pendidikan dan Sains, Vol.3, No.6,1246-1264, November 2023 https://doi.org/10.58578/masaliq.v3i6.1983.

[25] Sharma, P., Sahani, S.K., Sahani, K.S., and Sharma, K. (2023).Modeling Planetary and Stellar Motion Using Differential Equations, ARZUSIN Journal Manajeman Pendidikan Dasar, Vol.3, Issue 6, 769-782, December 2023, https://ejournal.yasin-alsys.org/index.php/arzusin

[26] Sahani, S. K., et al. (2024). Analytical Framework, Differential Equations in Aerospace Engineering, ALSYSTECH Journal of Education Technology, Vol.2, Issue 1, 13-30, January 2024.

[27] Sahani, S.K., et al. (2024). Deteriorates with A Certain Studies on Mathematical Modeling; An Optimization Oriented the Replacement of Items Whose Efficiency Time, MIKAILALSYS Journal of Multidisciplinary, Vol.2, Issue 1, 1-12, April 2024.

[28] Jha, A., Sahani, S. K., Jha, A., and Sahani, K. (2023). Journey to the Cosmos; Navigating Stellor Evolution with Differnetial Equations, Alifmatika; Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran Matematika, Vol.5, Issue 2, 282-297, December 2023.

[29] Sahani, S. K., et al., Assessment of the Manufacturing Quality of Metalic Surface Finishes in Artificial Intelligence, IGI Global Publishing Tomorrow's Research Today, DOI:10.4018/979-8-3693-1347-3.ch016.

[30] Sah, S., Sahani, S.K., Sahani, K., & Sharma, D. K. (2024). Some real Life Application of Derivatives in Economics. Mikailalsys Journal of Advanced Engineering International, 1(2), 120-131. https://doi.org/10.58578/mjaei.v1i2.2954.

[31] Sah, S., Sahani, S. K., Sahani, K., & Sharma, D. K. (2024). Some real Life Application of Derivatives in Economics. Mikailalsys Journal of Advanced Engineering International, 1(2), 120-131. https://doi.org/10.58578/mjaei.v1i2.2954.

[32] Sahani, S. K., Prasad, N. K., & Shachi, K. (2024). Biochemical Adaptations to Prolonged Starvation in Freshwater Catfish: Gonadal Glycogen Dynamics. Formosa Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 3(7), 2479–2488. https://doi.org/10.55927/fjmr.v3i7.9714

[33] Sahani, S. K., Prasad, N. K., & Shachi, K. (2024). Biochemical Adaptations to Prolonged Starvation in Freshwater Catfish: Gonadal Glycogen Dynamics. Formosa Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 3(7), 2479–2488. https://doi.org/10.55927/fjmr.v3i7.9714

[34] Sahani, S. K., Prasad, N. K., & Shachi, K. (2024). Biochemical Adaptations to Prolonged Starvation in Freshwater Catfish: Gonadal Glycogen Dynamics. Formosa Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 3(7), 2479–2488. https://doi.org/10.55927/fjmr.v3i7.9714

[35] Das, R., Mahato, A.K., Sahani, S. K., Mahto, S.K.(2024). STUDY ON DIOPHANTINE EQUATION AND ITS REAL-LIFE APPLICATIONS. International Journal of Innovative Studies, 9(1), 58-66. https://ijois.com/index.php/ijoisjournal/article/view/155

[36] Sahani, S. K., et al, (2025) An Investigation on the Stationary Shop Queuing Model; an Overview of Mathematical Modeling, Panamerican Mathematical Journal, Vol.35. No.1(S), 335-343.

[37] Munjal, A., Kaur, R., Rathour, L.,Sahani, S.K.,Mishra, L.N.,(2022). PYTHON PROGRAMMING CODES FOR SOLUTION OF SYSTEM OF LINEAR EQUATIONS. Engineering (ICMASE 2022) 4-7 July 2022, Technical University of Civil Engineering Bucharest, Romania, 76. AIP Conf. Proc. 3005, 020035 (2024) https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0210813

[38] Munjal, A., Kaur, R., Rathour, L.,Sahani, S.K.,Mishra, L.N.,(2022). PYTHON PROGRAMMING CODES FOR SOLUTION OF SYSTEM OF LINEAR EQUATIONS. Engineering (ICMASE 2022) 4-7 July 2022, Technical University of Civil Engineering Bucharest, Romania, 76. AIP Conf. Proc. 3005, 020035 (2024) https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0210813

[39] Munjal, A., Kaur, R., Rathour, L.,Sahani, S.K.,Mishra, L.N.,(2022). PYTHON PROGRAMMING CODES FOR SOLUTION OF SYSTEM OF LINEAR EQUATIONS. Engineering (ICMASE 2022) 4-7 July 2022, Technical

University of Civil Engineering Bucharest, Romania, 76. AIP Conf. Proc. 3005, 020035 (2024) https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0210813

[40] Sahani, S. K., Sah, B. K., Sahani, K., Das, R., & Mahato, A. K. (2024). Mathematical input-output analysis for economic impact assessment: A case study on local government's bridge construction project. Alifmatika: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran Matematika, 6(2), 279–292.

[41] Sahani, S. K., Sah, B.K., Sahani, K. (2023), Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) in Cement Manufacturing Plants, Advances in Nonlinear Variational Inequalities, Vol. 26, No.1, 2023, 16-25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.52783/anvi.v26.4224

[42] Sahani, S. K., Sah, B.K., Sahani, K. (2023), Reliability-Centered Maintenance (RCM) in Cement Manufacturing Plants, Advances in Nonlinear Variational Inequalities, Vol. 26, No.1, 2023, 16-25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.52783/anvi.v26.4224

[43] Sahani, S. K., Oruganti, S.K., Kumar, K.S., and Karna, S.K.. (2025). "Reliability Assessment of a Plywood Production Facility Utilizing Laplace Transform and Runge- Kutta Fourth-Order Differential Equations: Overview of Industrial Plant". Metallurgical and Materials Engineering 31 (4):417-423. https://doi.org/10.63278/1453.

[44] Sahani, S. K. Oruganti, S.K., & K. Sathish Kumar. (2025). Research Paper Optimization of Maintenance Strategies for Cement Manufacturing Plants: Optimization of Maintenance Strategies for Cement Manufacturing Plants. SGS - Engineering & Sciences, 1(1). Retrieved from https://spast.org/techrep/article/view/5234.

[45] Mahato, A. K., Das, R., & Sahani, S. K. (2025). Simulation of Realistic Motion in Computer Graphics Using Runge-Kutta Methods. African Multidisciplinary Journal of Sciences and Artificial Intelligence, 2(2), 325-342. https://doi.org/10.58578/amjsai.v2i2.5681.

[46] Sahani, S. K., (2022). Evaluation of Plastic Pipe Performance in Industrial Engineering Utilizing Laplace Transform and the Fourth-Order Runge-Kutta Method, Fuel Cells Bulletin, 2022, 12,1-7. https://doi.org/10.52710/fcb.210

[47] Sahani, S. K. (2023). Evaluation and Analysis of a Rice Production Facility Utilizing Laplace Transform and Runge-Kutta Fourth-Order Method in Reliability Theory, Fuel Cells Bulletin, 2023. 1, 15-21.

https://doi.org/10.52710/fcb.212

[48] Sahani, S. K. Sah, B.K. (2023). A Comprehensive Analysis of Cement Manufacturing Plants Using the Chapman-Kolmogorov Differential Equation. The International Journal of Multiphysics, 17(4), 538 - 544. https://doi.org/10.52783/ijm.v17.1856

[49] Sahani, S. K., Mandal, R.H. (2022). Modeling Population Growth in a Rural Area Using the Fourth-Order Runge-Kutta Method. The International Journal of Multiphysics, 16(4), 453 - 461. https://doi.org/10.52783/ijm.v16.1857

[50] Sahani, S. K. and Sah, D.K., (2023). Hybrid Analytical-Numerical Techniques for Machine Learning Optimization: Integrating Laplace Transform and Runge-Kutta Fourth-Order Methods, Review of Contemporary Philosophy, 22, 1, 6854-6860.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.52783/rcp.1165.

[51] Sahani, S. K., Sah, B.K., (2023). Performance Assessment of Industrial Plants Using Laplace Transform and Chapman-Kolmogorov Differential Equations. Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications (JoCAAA), 31(1), 1308–1317. Retrieved from https://www.eudoxuspress.com/index.php/pub/article/view/2913

[52] Sahani, S. K., Sah, D.K. (2022). Euler's Method: A Numerical Model for the Decomposition of Organic Waste .Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications (JoCAAA), 30(1), 857–868. Retrieved from https://eudoxuspress.com/index.php/pub/article/view/2924

[53] Sahani, S. K., Sah, B.K. (2021). A Dynamical Systems Perspective on Echo Chamber Polarization: Integrating Laplace and Runge-Kutta Methods, Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis, Vol. 28, 4, 105-113. https://doi.org/10.52783/cana.v28.5574

[54] Sahani, S. K. et al., (2022).A Comprehensive Study on Predicting Numerical Integration Errors using Machine Learning Approaches, Letters in High Energy Physics, 96-103. DOI: https://doi.org/10.52783/lhep.2022.1465 •

[55] Sahani, S. K., Sah, B.K. (2024).An In-Depth Stability and Convergence Analysis of the Runge-Kutta 4th Order Method for Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations, Panamerican Mathematical Journal,34,2, 300-308. https://doi.org/10.52783/pmj.v34.i2.5583

[56] Sahani, S. K., Sah, D.K., Mandal, R.H., (2022). A Runge-Kutta numerical approach to modeling population dynamics with age-structured differential equations, Advances in Nonlinear Variational Inequalities, Vol 25 No. 1, 109-116. https://doi.org/10.52783/anvi.v25.5625.

[57] Sahani, S. K., Sah, D.K., Mandal, R.H., (2022).Analyzing the Impact of Birth and Death Rates on Population Growth Using Modified Euler Method: A Case Study of Dhanusha District Panamerican Mathematical Journal, Vol 32 No. 3, 9-21. https://doi.org/10.52783/pmj.v32.i3.5624

[58] Sah, D.K., Sahani, S.K., (2021). Numerical Analysis of Greenhouse Gas Impact on Heat Retention in Atmosphere, Journal of Chemical Health Risks, 11, 4, 503-509. https://doi.org/10.52783/jchr.v11.i4.8641

[59] Sahani, S. K., Mandal, R.H., (2023). Application of Logistic Regression and Numerical Methods for Automated Bot Detection in Social Media Networks, Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations, Vol22, 2,192-206.

[60] Sahani, S. K., Mandal, R.H., (2024). Predictive Analysis of Urban Population Growth Using Least Squares Regression. The International Journal of Multiphysics, 18(4), 1215 - 1226. https://doi.org/10.52783/ijm.v18.1868

[61] Sahani, S. K., Mandal, R.H., (2025). A Numerical Method for Analyzing the Effects of Migration on Regional Population Change. The International Journal of Multiphysics, 19(1), 980 - 992. https://doi.org/10.52783/ijm.v19.1867

[62] Sahani, S. K., Shah, B. (2024). A Statistical Evaluation of Customer Feedback on Social Media and Its Role in Product Development, Linguistic and Philosophical Investigations, Vol23, 1, 3038-3057

[63] Sahani, S. K., & Sah, B. K. (2025). Optimization of Cultural Education Program Using Numerical Techniques Journal of Posthumanism, 5(6), 4265–4280. https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i6.2600

[64] Sahani, S. K. (2024). Big Data Learning Analytics & Optimization: Algorithms, Challenges, and Applications, Analysis and Metaphysics, Vol23 (1), 2024pp. 912–924.

[65] Sahani, S. K., & Sah, B. K. (2025). Optimization of Cultural Education Program Using Numerical Techniques Journal of Posthumanism, 5(6), 4265–4280. https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i6.2600

[66] Sahani, S.K. (2024). Big Data Learning Analytics & Optimization: Algorithms, Challenges, and Applications, Analysis and Metaphysics, Vol23 (1), 2024pp. 912–924.

[67] Sahani, S.K. (2023). Application of Numerical Methods in Structural Health Monitoring Using IoT Sensors, J. Electrical Systems 19-1(2023): 194-207. https://doi.org/10.52783/jes.8941

[68] Sahani, S.K. (2021). Differential Equation on Astrophysics: A Fundamental Approach to Understanding Cosmic Structures and Their Dynamic Evolution, Letters in High Energy Physics, Vol. 2021, 1-13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.52783/lhep.2021.1468

[69] Sahani, S.K. (2024). AI-Enhanced Finite Element Method (FEM) for Structural Analysis, J. Electrical Systems 20, 1, 661-676. https://doi.org/10.52783/jes.8946

[70] Sahani, S. K., & Karna, S. K. (2025). Simulation of the Clash between Cultural Values in Heterogeneous Society using Numerical Methods. Journal of Posthumanism, 4(3), 543–559. https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v4i3.2686

[71] Sahani, S. K., & Karna, S. K. (2025). Program for Cultural Education Optimization by the Application of Numerical Methods. Journal of Posthumanism, 5(1), 1611–1627. https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i1.2687

[72] Sahani, S. K., Sah, M. K., Agarwal, S. K., Pareek, V., Pareek, V., & Singh, V. V. (2025). Numerical Simulation of Cultural Value Conflict in Multicultural Societies. Journal of Posthumanism, 5(3), 1815–1831 . https://doi.org/10.63332/joph.v5i3.2651

[73] Sahani, S.K., and Sah, D.K. (2020). Numerical Optimization for Efficient Design and Scheduling of Public Transport Network. International Journal of Multiphysics,14(4), 426-435. https://doi.org/10.52783/ijm.v14.1860